


LAFARGE CANADA INC.

AMBIENT AIR QUALITY MONTHLY REPORT

OCTOBER 2019

NOVEMBER 15, 2019



WSP



AMBIENT AIR QUALITY MONTHLY REPORT OCTOBER 2019

LAFARGE CANADA INC.

PROJECT NO.: 171-00556-00
DATE: NOVEMBER 15, 2019

WSP
SUITE 1000
840 HOWE STREET
VANCOUVER, BC, CANADA V6Z 2M1

T: +1 604 685-9381
F: +1 604 683-8655
WSP.COM



November 15, 2019

LAFARGE CANADA INC.
Highway 1A
Exshaw, AB T0L 2C0

Attention: Janet Brygger

Dear Ms. Brygger

Subject: Ambient Air Quality Monthly Report - October 2019

The operational uptime for the meteorological systems and all analyzers (except for TSP) at the Lagoon station was 100% in October. The TSP analyzer had 99.7% uptime due to two hours of machine malfunction on October 17th and October 19th, 2019. There was 1 exceedance of the 24-hour TSP Alberta Ambient Air Quality Objectives (AAAQOs). However, there was no exceedance of the 24-hour PM_{2.5} AAAQOs, nor the 1-hour PM_{2.5} AAAQG in October at the Lagoon monitoring location.

The Windridge station was taken out of operation beginning April 8th as a result of construction work for flood mitigation along Exshaw Creek. The monitor at this station is expected to be re-installed sometime in 2020, after the completion of the construction work

Data collected at all of the GRIMM monitors are considered Industrial Ambient Monitors and are meant for assessing the performance of Lafarge Exshaw's Fugitive Dust Control Best Management Practices – Program; the GRIMM monitors are not Air Monitoring Directive (AMD) compliant. The operational uptime at all 3 monitors was as follows: 99.9% at the West GRIMM due to one hour of machine malfunction, 100% at the Berm GRIMM, and 22.6% at the Entrance GRIMM due to being deactivated for repair. The West GRIMM monitor recorded zero exceedances of the 24-hour TSP AAAQG and the 24-hour PM_{2.5} AAAQG. The Berm GRIMM had 15 exceedances of the TSP guideline and two exceedances of the PM_{2.5} guideline. The Entrance GRIMM monitor recorded 4 and 0 exceedances for the 24-hour TSP AAAQG and 24-hour PM_{2.5} AAAQG, respectively. High particulate levels and exceedances at the Berm and Entrance monitors are likely influenced by flood mitigation work along Exshaw creek and the hauling of creek materials along Highway 1A which is producing fugitive dust near the monitors.

I certify that I have reviewed and verified this report and that the information is complete, accurate and representative of the monitoring results, reporting timeframe and the specified analysis, summarization and reporting requirements.

Sincerely,

Tyler Abel, M.Sc.
Team Leader, Environmental
Management, Vancouver Office

SUITE 1000
840 HOWE STREET
VANCOUVER, BC, CANADA V6Z 2M1

T: +1 604 685-9381
F: +1 604 683-8655
wsp.com

SIGNATURES

PREPARED BY



November 15, 2019

Dylan Weyell, B.A.
Junior Air Quality Specialist, Environment

Date

APPROVED¹ BY (*must be reviewed for technical accuracy prior to approval*)



November 15, 2019

Tyler Abel, M.Sc.
Team Leader, Environmental Management,
Vancouver Region, Environment

Date

WSP Canada Inc. (WSP) prepared this report solely for the use of the intended recipient, LAFARGE CANADA INC., in accordance with the professional services agreement. The intended recipient is solely responsible for the disclosure of any information contained in this report. The content and opinions contained in the present report are based on the observations and/or information available to WSP at the time of preparation. If a third party makes use of, relies on, or makes decisions in accordance with this report, said third party is solely responsible for such use, reliance or decisions. WSP does not accept responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions taken by said third party based on this report. This limitations statement is considered an integral part of this report.

The original of this digital file will be conserved by WSP for a period of not less than 10 years. As the digital file transmitted to the intended recipient is no longer under the control of WSP, its integrity cannot be assured. As such, WSP does not guarantee any modifications made to this digital file subsequent to its transmission to the intended recipient.

¹ Approval of this document is an administrative function indicating readiness for release and does not impart legal liability on to the Approver for any technical content contained herein. Technical accuracy and fit-for-purpose of this content is obtained through the review process. The Approver shall ensure the applicable review process has occurred prior to signing the document.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1	EXSHAW CREEK FLOOD MITIGATION	1
2	OCTOBER 2019 REPORT SUMMARY	2
2.1	Lagoon Station	2
2.2	West Grimm	3
2.3	Berm Grimm	3
2.4	Entrance Grimm.....	4
3	LAGOON STATION	6
3.1	Operational Summary	6
3.2	Monitoring Results and Trends	7
4	WEST INDUSTRIAL GRIMM	20
4.1	Operational summary	20
4.2	Monitoring Results and Trends	20
5	BERM INDUSTRIAL GRIMM	25
5.1	Operational summary	25
5.2	Monitoring Results and Trends	25
6	ENTRANCE INDUSTRIAL GRIMM.....	33
6.1	Operational summary	33
6.2	Monitoring Results and Trends	33
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	41

TABLES

TABLE 2-1	LAGOON STATION DATA SUMMARY	2
TABLE 2-2	WEST STATION DATA SUMMARY....	3
TABLE 2-3	BERM STATION DATA SUMMARY....	4
TABLE 2-4	ENTRANCE STATION DATA SUMMARY	4

TABLE 3-1	INSTRUMENTATION LIST AT THE LAGOON STATION	6
TABLE 3-2	SUMMARY OF OCTOBER 2019 DATA AT LAGOON	8
TABLE 3-3	DAYS EXCEEDING THE GUIDELINE FOR TSP OR PM _{2.5} AT THE LAGOON MONITOR	9
TABLE 4-1	INSTRUMENTATION LIST AT THE WEST MONITORING LOCATION	20
TABLE 4-2	SUMMARY OF OCTOBER 2019 DATA AT THE WEST GRIMM	21
TABLE 5-1	INSTRUMENTATION LIST AT THE BERM MONITORING LOCATION	25
TABLE 5-2	SUMMARY OF OCTOBER 2019 DATA AT THE BERM GRIMM	26
TABLE 5-3	DAYS EXCEEDING THE GUIDELINE FOR TSP OR PM _{2.5} AT THE BERM MONITOR	27
TABLE 6-1	INSTRUMENTATION LIST AT THE ENTRANCE MONITORING LOCATION	33
TABLE 6-2	SUMMARY OF OCTOBER 2019 DATA AT THE ENTRANCE GRIMM.....	35
TABLE 6-3	DAYS EXCEEDING THE GUIDELINE FOR TSP OR PM _{2.5} AT THE ENTRANCE MONITOR	36

FIGURES

FIGURE 1-1	PHOTO OF FLOOD MITIGATION CONSTRUCTION AT EXSHAW CREEK.....	1
FIGURE 3-1	INLETS ON THE TOP OF WSP'S LAGOON MONITOR	7
FIGURE 3-2	OCTOBER 2019 WIND ROSE FROM THE LAGOON STATION.....	10
FIGURE 3-3	WIND ROSE FOR TSP EXCEEDANCE DAYS RECORDED AT THE LAGOON STATION.....	11
FIGURE 3-4	1-HOUR CONCENTRATIONS OF NO _x , SO ₂ , PARTICULATE MATTER, WIND DIRECTION AND WIND SPEED AT THE LAGOON STATION.....	12

FIGURE 3-5	HISTOGRAM OF HOURLY NO ₂ CONCENTRATIONS AT THE LAGOON STATION	13
FIGURE 3-6	HISTOGRAM OF HOURLY SO ₂ CONCENTRATIONS AT THE LAGOON STATION	13
FIGURE 3-7	HISTOGRAM OF HOURLY PM _{2.5} CONCENTRATIONS AT THE LAGOON STATION	14
FIGURE 3-8	HISTOGRAM OF HOURLY PM ₁₀ CONCENTRATIONS AT THE LAGOON STATION	14
FIGURE 3-9	HISTOGRAM OF HOURLY TSP CONCENTRATIONS AT THE LAGOON STATION	15
FIGURE 3-10	24-HOUR CONCENTRATIONS OF NO _x , SO ₂ , AND PARTICULATE MATTER AT THE LAGOON MONITOR	16
FIGURE 3-11	LAGOON MONITOR PARTICULATE MATTER TIME VARIATION	17
FIGURE 3-12	LAGOON MONITOR SO ₂ TIME VARIATION	18
FIGURE 3-13	LAGOON MONITOR NO _x TIME VARIATION	19
FIGURE 4-1	1-HOUR PARTICULATE MATTER CONCENTRATIONS AT THE WEST MONITOR	22
FIGURE 4-2	24-HOUR PARTICULATE MATTER CONCENTRATIONS AT THE WEST MONITOR	23
FIGURE 4-3	WEST PARTICULATE MATTER TIME VARIATION	24
FIGURE 5-1	1-HOUR PARTICULATE MATTER CONCENTRATIONS RECORDED AT THE BERM MONITOR	29
FIGURE 5-2	24-HOUR PARTICULATE MATTER CONCENTRATIONS RECORDED AT THE BERM MONITOR	30
FIGURE 5-3	WIND ROSE FOR TSP EXCEEDANCE DAYS RECORDED AT THE BERM GRIMM	31
FIGURE 5-4	BERM PARTICULATE MATTER TIME VARIATION	32
FIGURE 6-1	1-HOUR PARTICULATE MATTER CONCENTRATIONS RECORDED AT THE ENTRANCE MONITOR	37



FIGURE 6-2	24-HOUR PARTICULATE MATTER CONCENTRATIONS AT THE ENTRANCE MONITOR	38
FIGURE 6-3	WIND ROSE FOR TSP EXCEEDANCE DAYS RECORDED AT THE ENTRANCE GRIMM	39
FIGURE 6-4	ENTRANCE PARTICULATE MATTER TIME VARIATION.....	40

APPENDICES

A DATA & CALIBRATION REPORTS

1 INTRODUCTION

This report summarizes the ambient air quality and meteorological data collected at the Lagoon, Windridge, and GRIMM monitors in Exshaw, AB. The station is operated by WSP on behalf of Lafarge Canada Inc. (Lafarge) and is a requirement of Lafarge's Approval 1702-02-04. This report contains data collected between October 1, 2019 and October 31, 2019.

This monthly report was prepared by Dylan Weyell, Junior Air Quality Specialist with WSP, on behalf of Lafarge and was reviewed by Tyler Abel, Team Leader of Environmental Management in the Vancouver Region at WSP.

1.1 EXSHAW CREEK FLOOD MITIGATION

Due to flood mitigation construction at Exshaw creek (Figure 1-1), the Windridge monitor was taken out of operation and removed from the site on April 8, 2019. The monitoring station will be re-installed after the completion of construction in 2020.

Dust created from the flood mitigation work has the potential to impact particulate matter concentrations at the remaining stations.



Figure 1-1 Photo of Flood Mitigation Construction at Exshaw Creek

2 OCTOBER 2019 REPORT SUMMARY

This summary section provides the pertinent details on data collected and maintenance/calibration activities at each of the monitoring locations. The monitoring results for the stations are described in further detail in their corresponding sections. Maximum hourly concentrations are shown for all particulate matter size fractions, but there are no Alberta Ambient Air Quality Objectives (AAAQO) for 1-hour PM concentrations. The exceedances reported for 1-hour PM_{2.5} are those above the 1-hour PM_{2.5} Alberta Ambient Air Quality Guidelines (AAAQG).

2.1 LAGOON STATION

Table 2-1 Lagoon station data summary

Parameter	Data Completeness (%)	1-Hour Average		24-hour Average	
		Maximum Concentration	Exceedances of AAAQO or AAAQG	Maximum Concentration	Exceedances of AAAQO
NO ₂ (ppb)	100.0	24.9	0	9.4	-
SO ₂ (ppb)	100.0	9.1	0	2.8	0
PM _{2.5} (µg/m ³)	100.0	16.4	0 ¹	11.7	0
PM ₁₀ (µg/m ³)	100.0	236.4	-	81.3	-
TSP (µg/m ³)	99.7	399.0	-	134.9	1
Temperature (°C)	100.0	14.0	-	11.4	-
Wind Speed (km/hr) /Direction (Degrees)	100.0	59.6/W	-	36.7/WSW	-
Precipitation (mm)	100.0	1 ²	-	4 ³	-

¹Any exceedances reported for 1-hour PM_{2.5} are over the guideline level (AAAQG) of 80 µg/m³.

²Maximum Daily Total Accumulation of Precipitation (mm)

³Monthly Total Accumulation of Precipitation (mm)

Data Quality Notes:

- There were no exceedances of the 24-hour PM_{2.5} AAAQO.
- There were no exceedances of the 1-hour PM_{2.5} AAAQG.
- There was 1 exceedance of the 24-hour TSP AAAQO.

Calibration/Maintenance Notes:

- All analyzers and meteorological sensors had 100% uptime for the month of October. Except for the TSP BAM monitor which had 99.7% due to two hours of machine malfunction on October 17th at 2PM, and on October 19th at 12PM.
-

2.2 WEST GRIMM

The GRIMM monitors are Industrial Ambient Monitors meant to aid Lafarge in assessing the performance of their Fugitive Dust Control Best Management Practices – Program (FDCBMP-P). The AAAQO are used as Guidelines to evaluate the performance of the FDCBMP-P; however, these Industrial monitors are not Alberta Air Monitoring Directive (AMD) compliant and not required to show compliance with the AAAQO.

Table 2-2 West station data summary

Parameter	Data Completeness (%)	1-Hour Average		24-hour Average	
		Maximum Concentration	Exceedances of Guidelines	Maximum Concentration	Exceedances of Guidelines
PM _{2.5} ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	99.9	14.5	0*	10.5	0
PM ₁₀ ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	99.9	49.5	-	12.1	-
TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	99.9	155.0	-	20.4	0

* Any exceedances reported for 1-hour PM_{2.5} are over the guideline level (AAAQG) of 80 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$.

Data Quality Notes:

- There were no exceedances of the 24-hour PM_{2.5} AAAQG.
- There were no exceedances of the 1-hour PM_{2.5} AAAQG.
- There were no exceedances of the 24-hour TSP AAAQG.

Calibration/Maintenance Notes:

- The analyzer had 99.9% uptime for the month of October due to one hour of machine malfunction on October 2, 2019.
-

2.3 BERM GRIMM

The GRIMM monitors are Industrial Ambient Monitors meant to aid Lafarge in assessing the performance of their FDCBMP-P. The AAAQO are used as Guidelines to evaluate the performance of the FDCBMP-P; however, these Industrial monitors are not Alberta Air Monitoring Directive (AMD) compliant and not required to show compliance with the AAAQO.

Table 2-3 Berm station data summary

Parameter	Data Completeness (%)	1-Hour Average		24-hour Average	
		Maximum Concentration	Exceedances of Guidelines	Maximum Concentration	Exceedances of Guidelines
PM _{2.5} ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	100.0	85.0	2*	24.1	0
PM ₁₀ ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	100.0	950.9	-	239.1	-
TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	100.0	3,578.2	-	985.8	15

* Any exceedances reported for 1-hour PM_{2.5} are over the guideline level (AAAQG) of 80 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$.

Data Quality Notes:

- There were no exceedances of the 24-hour PM_{2.5} AAAQG.
- There were 2 hours exceeding the 1-hour PM_{2.5} AAAQG.
- There were 15 days exceeding the 24-hour TSP AAAQG.

Calibration/Maintenance Notes:

- The analyzer had 100% uptime for the month of October.

2.4 ENTRANCE GRIMM

The GRIMM monitors are Industrial Ambient Monitors meant to aid Lafarge in assessing the performance of their FDCBMP-P. The AAAQO are used as Guidelines to evaluate the performance of the FDCBMP-P; however, these Industrial monitors are not Alberta Air Monitoring Directive (AMD) compliant and not required to show compliance with the AAAQO.

Table 2-4 Entrance station data summary

Parameter	Data Completeness (%)	1-Hour Average		24-hour Average	
		Maximum Concentration	Exceedances of Guidelines	Maximum Concentration	Exceedances of Guidelines
PM _{2.5} ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	22.6	21.0	0*	10.0	0
PM ₁₀ ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	22.6	177.8	-	69.7	-
TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	22.6	912.2	-	261.5	4

* Any exceedances reported for 1-hour PM_{2.5} are over the guideline level (AAAQG) of 80 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$.

Data Quality Notes:

- There were no exceedances of the 24-hour PM_{2.5} AAAQG.
- There were no exceedances of the 1-hour PM_{2.5} AAAQG.

- There were 4 days exceeding the 24-hour TSP AAAQG.

Calibration/Maintenance Notes:

- The analyzer had 22.6% uptime for the month of October due to the GRIMM instrument being removed for repair.

3 LAGOON STATION

The Lagoon trailer contains NO_x, SO₂, TSP, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5} analyzers as well as meteorological sensors, and is shown in Figure 3-1. An ambient air quality station has been at this location since 2002, providing a long-term data record for air quality in the Exshaw area.

This section provides a summary of the monitoring activities for the Lagoon ambient air quality station, including: a table of instrumentation (Table 3-1), a data summary table (Table 3-2), site visit notes, a wind rose (Figure 3-2) and tables and graphs illustrating the monitoring results for October 2019.

All of the monitors comply with Alberta Environment and Parks Air Monitoring Directive (2016).

3.1 OPERATIONAL SUMMARY

A summary of the station operation for the month is provided in Table 3-1.

Table 3-1 Instrumentation List at the Lagoon Station

Parameter Measured	Equipment Description	Notes
PM_{2.5} Concentrations	MetOne BAM-1020 FRM Continuous Particulate Monitor	The PM _{2.5} monitor was calibrated on October 2 nd . The monitor had 100% uptime in October.
PM₁₀ Concentrations	MetOne BAM-1020 Continuous Particulate Monitor	The PM ₁₀ monitor was calibrated on October 2 nd . The monitor had 100% uptime in October.
TSP Concentrations	MetOne BAM-1020 Continuous Particulate Monitor	The TSP monitor was calibrated on October 2 nd . The monitor had 99.7% uptime in October.
Oxides of Nitrogen	TEI 42C	Both monitors were calibrated on October 30 th . The monitors had 100% uptime in October.
Sulphur Dioxide	Teledyne API 102A	
Precipitation	MetOne 130 Rain/Snow Gauge	The monitor had 100% uptime in October.
Wind Speed	MetOne Wind Sensor	The monitors had 100% uptime in October.
Wind Direction		
Ambient Temperature	MetOne Ambient Temperature Sensor	The monitor had 100% uptime in October.



Figure 3-1 Inlets on the top of WSP's Lagoon monitor

3.2 MONITORING RESULTS AND TRENDS

The following wind rose (Figure 3-2) illustrates the frequency of wind speed by wind direction for the month of October 2019. The wind rose indicates that the winds predominantly came from the west, west-northwest and west-southwest directions. The subsequent wind rose (Figure 3-3) illustrates the frequency of wind speed by wind direction for the one day of TSP exceedance in October 2019, listed in (Table 3-3). The exceedance wind rose indicates that the winds predominately came from the west-northwest and west directions.

Table 3-2 summarizes the hourly, daily, and monthly concentrations recorded in October 2019.

Figure 3-4 graphically illustrates the time series for hourly concentrations as well as wind speed and direction, while Figure 3-10 shows daily average concentrations recorded during October 2019 for the pollutants listed in Table 3-2. Additionally, Figure 3-5 to Figure 3-9 show the histograms of the hourly concentrations of NO₂, SO₂, PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, and TSP measured at the Lagoon station.

Dust created from the flood mitigation work (section 1.1) has the potential to impact the monitored particulate matter concentrations in the airshed, including at the Lagoon station. There was one exceedance of the 24-hour TSP (100 µg/m³) AAAQO. However, there were no exceedances of the 24-hour PM_{2.5} (29 µg/m³) AAAQO, nor the 1-hour PM_{2.5} AAAQG.

Historically in October, the average number of 24-hour TSP AAAQO exceedances and 24-hour PM_{2.5} AAAQO exceedances is zero, respectively.

Table 3-2 Summary of October 2019 data at Lagoon

Parameter	Guideline / Objectives		Station	Exceedances		Monthly		1-hour				24-hour		Operational Time (Percent)	
	1-hr	24-hr		1-hr	24-hr	Minimum	Average	Maximum Concentration/Meteorological Variable	Day	Hour	Wind Speed (km/hr)	Wind Direction (degrees)	Maximum Concentration/Meteorological Variable	Day	
NO ₂ (ppb)	159	-	Lagoon	0	-	0.1	4.7	24.9	26	17	5.7	279.5	9.4	26	100.0
SO ₂ (ppb)	172	48	Lagoon	0	0	0.0	0.4	9.1	12	12	25.2	296.2	2.8	12	100.0
PM _{2.5} (µg/m ³)	80	29	Lagoon	0	0	0.0	4.2	16.4	10	12	17.6	289.2	11.7	11	100.0
PM ₁₀ (µg/m ³)	-	-	Lagoon	-	-	0.0	20.3	236.4	30	9	37.3	297.1	81.3	30	100.0
TSP (µg/m ³)	-	100	Lagoon	-	1	0.0	31.5	399.0	30	9	37.3	297.1	134.9	30	99.7
Temperature (°C)	-	-	Lagoon	-	-	-18.4	2.8	14.0	7	16	37.4	263.0	11.4	16	100.0
Wind Speed (km/hr)/Direction (degrees)	-	-	Lagoon	-	-	2.0	21.0	59.6/W	7	13	59.6	252.7	36.7/WSW	7	100.0
Precipitation (mm)	-	-	Lagoon	-	-	0.0	0.0	1.0	25	15	24.9	259.9	4.0	-	100.0

Table 3-3 Days exceeding the Guideline for TSP or PM_{2.5} at the Lagoon Monitor

Date	TSP (ug/m ³)	PM _{2.5} (ug/m ³)	Average Wind Direction (degrees)	Average Wind Speed (km/hr)	Average RH (%)	Root Cause (Provided by Lafarge)
Lagoon						
2019-10-30	134.87	-	33.54	33.54	36.62	High wind event; Dust, possibly from flood mitigation work
Total # of Exceedances	1	0				
Maximum # of Exceedances (October)	1 (2012, 2014)	0 (2010-2018)				
Average # of Exceedances (October)	0	0				
Minimum # of Exceedances (October)	0 (2010-2011, 2013, 2015-2018)	0 (2010-2018)				




Figure 3-2 October 2019 wind rose from the Lagoon Station




Figure 3-3 Wind rose for TSP exceedance days recorded at the Lagoon Station




Figure 3-4 1-hour concentrations of NO_x, SO₂, particulate matter, wind direction and wind speed at the Lagoon station

Histogram of Hourly NO₂ Readings




Figure 3-5 Histogram of hourly NO₂ concentrations at the Lagoon station

Histogram of Hourly SO₂ Readings




Figure 3-6 Histogram of hourly SO₂ concentrations at the Lagoon station

Histogram of Hourly PM_{2.5} Readings




Figure 3-7 Histogram of hourly PM_{2.5} concentrations at the Lagoon station

Histogram of Hourly PM₁₀ Readings




Figure 3-8 Histogram of hourly PM₁₀ concentrations at the Lagoon station

Histogram of Hourly TSP Readings




Figure 3-9 Histogram of hourly TSP concentrations at the Lagoon station




Figure 3-10 24-hour concentrations of NO_x, SO₂, and particulate matter at the Lagoon monitor

Figure 3-11 through Figure 3-13 show the variation in concentrations over various time averaging periods for PM, SO₂ and NO_x. The particulate matter plot in Figure 3-11 shows that PM₁₀ and TSP concentrations shows a diurnal pattern associated with Lafarge operations, daytime emissions from traffic and other activities. The diurnal patterns also follow the diurnal pattern of higher wind speeds during the daytime hours.

Figure 3-12 shows the variation of SO₂ over various time periods. SO₂ concentrations patterns are dependent on the timing of the highest SO₂ concentrations recorded in the month because in general SO₂ concentrations are very low. Figure 3-13 shows the variation of NO_x, NO and NO₂, with the peak of all three pollutants occurring in the early morning. This may be indicative of a peak in traffic.




Figure 3-11 Lagoon monitor particulate matter time variation




Figure 3-12 Lagoon monitor SO₂ time variation




Figure 3-13 Lagoon monitor NO_x time variation

4 WEST INDUSTRIAL GRIMM

4.1 OPERATIONAL SUMMARY

A summary of the station operation for the month is provided in Table 4-1.

Table 4-1 Instrumentation List at the West monitoring location

Parameter Measured	Equipment Description	Notes
PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, TSP Concentrations	GRIMM 365 Continuous Particulate Monitor	The monitors had 99.9% uptime in October due to one hour of machine malfunction on October 2 nd at 12PM.

4.2 MONITORING RESULTS AND TRENDS

The West GRIMM was installed in its current location in order to monitor “background” PM concentrations since the predominant wind pattern is from west to east in the valley. Table 4-2 summarizes the monthly concentrations, and the maximum 1-hour and 24-hour concentrations recorded over the course of the month. This is an industrial monitor that is not Alberta Air Monitoring Directive (AMD) compliant and is not required to show compliance with the AAAQO.

Figure 4-1 and Figure 4-2 show the hourly and daily PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀ and TSP concentrations recorded over the month. There were no exceedances of the 24-hour TSP guideline (100 µg/m³) nor the 24-hour PM_{2.5} guideline (29µg/m³).

Historically in October, the average number of 24-hour TSP AAAQG exceedances and 24-hour PM_{2.5} AAAQG exceedances are zero, respectively. The maximum number of 24-hour AAAQG exceedances was 1 day in 2013 for TSP, and 0 days from 2010-2018 for PM_{2.5}.

Table 4-2 Summary of October 2019 data at the West GRIMM

Parameter	Guideline		Station	Exceedances		Monthly		Maximum 1-hour				Maximum 24-hour		Operational Time (Percent)	
	1-hr	24-hr		1-hr	24-hr	Minimum	Average	Maximum Concentration	Day	Hour	Wind Speed (km/hr)	Wind Direction (degrees)	Maximum Concentration	Day	
PM _{2.5} (µg/m ³)	80	29	West	0	0	0.2	3.0	14.5	11	9	24.6	294.6	10.5	11	99.9
PM ₁₀ (µg/m ³)	-	-	West	-	-	0.2	3.9	49.5	9	14	15.7	243.7	12.1	11	99.9
TSP (µg/m ³)	-	100	West	-	0	0.2	4.1	155.0	9	14	15.7	243.7	20.4	9	99.9



Figure 4-1 1-hour particulate matter concentrations at the West monitor




Figure 4-2 24-hour particulate matter concentrations at the West monitor

Figure 4-3 below illustrates the hourly PM concentrations recorded at the West monitor, averaged over different time periods. The plot across the top shows the variation of PM over the course of a week, while the bottom three plots show the changes in PM over the course of a day, month and weekday, respectively. Figure 4-3 is based on data collected during October 2019 and indicates a diurnal relationship that could be due to the proximity of the West monitor to the highway. As the monitor is generally ‘up-wind’ of the facility, the daily variations in PM are more likely a result of higher traffic volume during daylight hours than specific Lafarge operations.




Figure 4-3 **West particulate matter time variation**

5 BERM INDUSTRIAL GRIMM

5.1 OPERATIONAL SUMMARY

A summary of the station operation for the month is provided in Table 5-1.

Table 5-1 Instrumentation List at the Berm monitoring location

Parameter Measured	Equipment Description	Notes
PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, TSP Concentrations	GRIMM 365 Continuous Particulate Monitor	The monitors had 100% uptime in October.

5.2 MONITORING RESULTS AND TRENDS

The Berm monitor was placed at its current location as a result of the dispersion modelling conducted for the facility in 2009. Figure 5-1 and Figure 5-2 show the hourly and daily PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀ and TSP concentrations recorded over the month. Table 5-2 summarizes the monthly concentrations, and the maximum 1-hour and 24-hour PM concentrations recorded during the month, and Table 5-3 summarizes the recorded exceedances. This is an industrial monitor that is not Alberta Air Monitoring Directive (AMD) compliant and is not required to show compliance with the AAAQO.

There were 15 and 0 exceedances of the 24-hour TSP (100 µg/m³) and PM_{2.5} (29 µg/m³) guidelines, respectively. There were 2 hours exceeding the 1-hour PM_{2.5} AAAQG. Elevated TSP concentrations this month could be associated with high wind speeds, paired with the Exshaw Creek flood mitigation construction activities.

Historically during the month of October, the Berm monitor records an average of 15 and 0 exceedances of the 24-hour TSP and PM_{2.5} guidelines, respectively. The maximum number of TSP exceedances recorded during October occurred in 2014 where there were 21 days that exceeded the guideline. On the other hand, the maximum number of PM_{2.5} exceedances in October occurred in 2012, where there was 1 day that exceeded the guideline.

It should also be noted that the GRIMM monitors become more conservative in the reported PM concentrations as the size fraction increases. The PM_{2.5} size fraction has been shown to match other regulatory approved PM_{2.5} monitors, but the TSP concentrations recorded by the GRIMM tend to be higher than regulatory approved monitors (Levelton, 2015).

The Berm monitor is located along a ridge at the edge of the Lafarge property and is in an area where on-site trucks drive through site, which can create fugitive dust. Quarry blasting also has the potential to impact short term PM immediately following a blast.

Table 5-2 Summary of October 2019 data at the Berm GRIMM

Parameter	Guideline		Station	Exceedances		Monthly		Maximum 1-hour				Maximum 24-hour		Operational Time (Percent)	
	1-hr	24-hr		1-hr	24-hr	Minimum	Average	Maximum Concentration	Day	Hour	Wind Speed (km/hr)	Wind Direction (degrees)	Maximum Concentration	Day	
PM _{2.5} (µg/m ³)	80	29	West	2	0	0.2	8.7	85.0	22	11	46.6	271.1	24.1	22	100.0
PM ₁₀ (µg/m ³)	-	-	West	-	-	0.2	62.0	950.9	22	11	46.6	271.1	239.1	22	100.0
TSP (µg/m ³)	-	100	West	-	15	0.1	264.8	3578.2	22	11	46.6	271.1	985.8	30	100.0

Table 5-3 Days exceeding the Guideline for TSP or PM_{2.5} at the Berm Monitor

Date	TSP (ug/m ³)	PM _{2.5} (ug/m ³)	Average Wind Direction (degrees)	Average Wind Speed (km/hr)	Average RH (%)	Root Cause (Provided by Lafarge)
Berm						
2019-10-04	200.8	-	268.5	20.8	44.3	High wind event; Dust, possibly from flood mitigation work
2019-10-05	280.6	-	263.2	25.5	39.1	High wind event; Dust, possibly from flood mitigation work
2019-10-06	442.8	-	265.0	31.9	33.0	High wind event; Dust, possibly from flood mitigation work
2019-10-07	615.8	-	256.5	36.7	43.1	High wind event; Dust, possibly from flood mitigation work
2019-10-15	607.9	-	271.1	24.3	49.3	High wind event; Dust, possibly from flood mitigation work
2019-10-16	619.7	-	261.6	36.4	38.4	High wind event; Dust, possibly from flood mitigation work
2019-10-17	650.6	-	264.4	32.0	41.4	High wind event; Dust, possibly from flood mitigation work
2019-10-18	482.4	-	262.8	24.1	39.2	High wind event; Dust, possibly from flood mitigation work

2019-10-21	193.8	-	269.3	20.5	50.8	High wind event; Dust, possibly from flood mitigation work
2019-10-22	918.1	-	265.9	28.5	40.7	High wind event; Dust, possibly from flood mitigation work
2019-10-24	541.5	-	251.8	36.2	47.3	High wind event; Dust, possibly from flood mitigation work
2019-10-25	361.5	-	261.8	25.8	51.2	High wind event; Dust, possibly from flood mitigation work
2019-10-29	482.0	-	286.6	25.1	45.7	High wind event; Dust, possibly from flood mitigation work
2019-10-30	985.8	-	281.4	33.5	36.6	High wind event; Dust, possibly from flood mitigation work
2019-10-31	140.6	-	305.3	13.8	57.1	TSP - Dust, possibly from flood mitigation work
Total # of Exceedances	15	0				
Maximum # of Exceedances (October)	21 (2014)	1 (2012)				
Average # of Exceedances (October)	15	0				
Minimum # of Exceedances (October)	9 (2016)	0 (2010-2011, 2013-2018)				




Figure 5-1 **1-hour particulate matter concentrations recorded at the Berm monitor**




Figure 5-2 24-hour particulate matter concentrations recorded at the Berm monitor

Figure 5-3 shows the wind rose for the 15 days of TSP exceedances recorded this month. The wind rose shows that the winds predominantly came from the west, west-southwest and west-northwest directions.

Figure 5-4 shows the variation of PM recorded at the Berm monitor over various time averaging periods. The Berm monitor diurnal pattern, similar to the Windridge and Lagoon stations, is associated with Lafarge operations, but also daytime emissions from traffic and other activities in Exshaw, such as the flood mitigation work that is currently underway.




Figure 5-3 Wind rose for TSP exceedance days recorded at the Berm GRIMM




Figure 5-4 **Berm particulate matter time variation**

6 ENTRANCE INDUSTRIAL GRIMM

6.1 OPERATIONAL SUMMARY

A summary of the station operation for the month is provided in Table 6-1.

Table 6-1 Instrumentation List at the Entrance monitoring location

Parameter Measured	Equipment Description	Notes
PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, TSP Concentrations	GRIMM 365 Continuous Particulate Monitor	The monitors had 22.6% uptime in October due to the GRIMM instrument being removed for repair.

6.2 MONITORING RESULTS AND TRENDS

The Entrance monitor was placed at its current location as a result of dispersion modelling conducted in 2009. This area was indicated as being the area where the maximum PM concentrations were expected. Figure 6-1 and Figure 6-2 show the hourly and daily PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀ and TSP concentrations recorded over the month. Table 6-2 summarizes the monthly concentrations, and the maximum 1-hour and 24-hour PM concentrations recorded during the month. Table 6-3 summarizes the recorded exceedances. This is an industrial monitor that is not Alberta Air Monitoring Directive (AMD) compliant and is not required to show compliance with the AAAQO.

During October, there were 4 and zero exceedances of the 24-hour TSP (100 µg/m³) and PM_{2.5} (29 µg/m³) guidelines, respectively. Dust created from the flood mitigation work (section 1.1) has the potential to impact particulate matter concentrations and may have contributed to particulate at the Entrance monitor.

Historically, the Entrance monitor records an average of 16 and 0 exceedances of the 24-hour TSP and PM_{2.5} guidelines respectively, during the month of October. The maximum number of TSP exceedances recorded during October occurred in 2014 (26 days), while the minimum occurred in 2011 with 5 exceedances. On the other hand, the maximum number of PM_{2.5} exceedances in October was 2 days, occurring in both 2010 & 2011. It should be noted that October 2019 recorded the minimum number of TSP exceedances with 4, however, there was only 22.6% of uptime for the GRIMM monitor as it was deactivated for repair on October 8th.

It should also be noted that the GRIMM monitors become more conservative in the reported PM concentrations as the size fraction increases. The PM_{2.5} size fraction has been shown to match other regulatory approved PM_{2.5} monitors, but the TSP concentrations recorded by the GRIMM tend to be higher than regulatory approved monitors (Levelton, 2015).

The Entrance monitor had an uptime of 22.6% for the month of October. The instrument went into error on October the 8th. After trouble shooting the monitor it was determined that it had to be sent back to the vendor in Quebec for further evaluation. The monitor was repaired; however, a part broke during shipping and it additional time was required to get the part shipped to Quebec from Germany. The monitor was re-installed on November 5th and has been functioning correctly.

The Entrance monitor is impacted by fugitive dust from plant activities, and high wind events. Trucks also pass near to the Entrance monitor as they enter and exit the Lafarge facility for loading and deliveries. Additionally, the monitor is closely located to Highway 1A. Traffic, particularly large trucks, can create dust while crossing over the railway tracks. This can all lead to the monitor recording high TSP concentrations, which are typically associated

with fugitive dust sources. The CPR rail crossing is in disrepair and may be contributing to PM concentrations at the Entrance monitor. The first phase to repair is to be completed in early November 2019, while the second phase is scheduled to be completed by the end of November.

Figure 6-3 shows the wind rose for the 4 days that exceeded the TSP guideline. The wind rose indicates that the winds predominantly came from the west, west-southwest and west-northwest directions. High wind speeds and flood mitigation work could be attributed as the causation for the 4 TSP exceedances recorded during the month of October.

Table 6-2 Summary of October 2019 data at the Entrance GRIMM

Parameter	Guideline		Station	Exceedances		Monthly		Maximum 1-hour				Maximum 24-hour		Operational Time (Percent)	
	1-hr	24-hr		1-hr	24-hr	Minimum	Average	Maximum Concentration	Day	Hour	Wind Speed (km/hr)	Wind Direction (degrees)	Maximum Concentration	Day	
PM _{2.5} (µg/m ³)	80	29	Entrance	0	0	0.0	7.0	21.0	7	11	49.5	254.0	10.0	2	22.6
PM ₁₀ (µg/m ³)	-	-	Entrance	-	-	0.0	39.7	177.8	7	11	49.5	254.0	69.7	7	22.6
TSP (µg/m ³)	-	100	Entrance	-	4	0.0	120.4	912.2	7	12	57.3	252.3	261.5	7	22.6

Table 6-3 Days exceeding the Guideline for TSP or PM_{2.5} at the Entrance Monitor

Date	TSP (ug/m ³)	PM _{2.5} (ug/m ³)	Average Wind Direction (degrees)	Average Wind Speed (km/hr)	Average RH (%)	Root Cause (Provided by Lafarge)
Entrance						
2019-10-02	147.9	-	288.9	17.4	57.4	TSP - Dust, possibly from flood mitigation work
2019-10-03	120.2	-	267.4	22.2	53.7	High wind event; Dust, possibly from flood mitigation work
2019-10-04	125.8	-	268.5	20.8	44.3	High wind event; Dust, possibly from flood mitigation work
2019-10-07	261.5	-	256.5	36.7	43.1	High wind event; Dust, possibly from flood mitigation work
Total # of Exceedances	4	0				
Maximum # of Exceedances (August)	26 (2014)	2 (2010, 2012)				
Average # of Exceedances (August)	16	0				
Minimum # of Exceedances (August)	5 (2011)	0 (2010, 2011, 2013-2018)				




Figure 6-1 1-hour particulate matter concentrations recorded at the Entrance monitor



Figure 6-2 24-hour particulate matter concentrations at the Entrance monitor




Figure 6-3 Wind rose for TSP exceedance days recorded at the Entrance GRIMM

Figure 6-4 illustrates the hourly PM concentrations recorded at the Entrance monitor, averaged over different time periods. The plot across the top shows the variation of PM over the course of a week, while the bottom three plots show the changes in PM over the course of a day, month and weekday, respectively. Figure 6-4 is based on data collected during October 2019. The diurnal pattern is likely more influenced by daytime traffic emission (from vehicles serving Lafarge as well as regular highway traffic) given its location near the highway entrance to Lafarge, but can also be influenced by the flood mitigation work currently underway, as well as industry and rail sources. It should be noted once again that the data is based on 22.6% of uptime for the GRIMM monitor as it was deactivated October 8th for repair.




Figure 6-4 Entrance particulate matter time variation

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Alberta Environment and Parks. (2019, January). Alberta Ambient Air Quality Objectives and Guidelines Summary. Alberta, Canada.
- Alberta Environment and Parks. (2016, February). Air Monitoring Directive. Alberta, Canada.
- Carslaw, D.C. and K. Ropkins, (2012). Openair — an R package for air quality data analysis. Environmental Modelling & Software. Volume 27–28, 52–61.
- Levelton Consultants Ltd. (2015, June 15). Comparison of GRIMM and E-BAM Data. Alberta, Canada.

APPENDIX

A DATA & CALIBRATION REPORTS

APPENDIX



